

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 HAGSTROM PROPERTIES,)
12 Plaintiff(s),) No. C06-4338 MMC (BZ)
13 v.) ORDER DISCHARGING ORDER TO
14 DOW CLEANERS, et al,) SHOW CAUSE
15 Defendant(s).)
16 _____)

17 Mr. Tharp filed a written response on March 12, 2008 to
18 the Order To Show Cause issued on February 26, 2008. Since it
19 was not clear whether he had filed or served the response on
20 other parties, I directed my clerk to file it electronically.

21 Having read the response, the court finds that under the
22 circumstances of this case no monetary sanctions are
23 appropriate. However, the Tharps are **ORDERED** to communicate
24 with plaintiff about the circumstances surrounding their
25 ownership of the dry cleaning business and in particular
26 whether they had liability insurance which might provide them
27 with coverage for any of plaintiff's claims.

28 The Order To Show Cause is otherwise **DISCHARGED**. The

1 hearing scheduled for April 2, 2008 is **VACATED**.

2 Dated: March 26, 2008

3 
4 Bernard Zimmerman
5 United States Magistrate Judge

6 G:\BZALL\-\REFS\REFS.08\HAGSTROM.OSC.DISCHARGE. RE THARPS.wpd

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28